

Our Ref.: 31032.P001

APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

FOR

On-Chip Inter-Subsystem Communication

Inventor(s):
George Apostol, Jr.
Mahadev S. Kolluru

Prepared by:
Columbia IP Law Group, PC

Express Mail Label No. EV051102468US
Date of Deposit: February 28, 2002

On-Chip Inter-Subsystem Communication

Related Application

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application Number 60/272,439,
5 entitled "MULTI-SERVICE PROCESSOR INCLUDING A MULTI-SERVICE BUS",
filed 2/28/2001, the specification of which is hereby fully incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to the field of integrated circuit. More
specifically, the present invention relates to inter-subsystem communication between
subsystems on an integrated circuit device.

15 2. Background Information

Advances in integrated circuit technology have led to the birth and
proliferation of a wide variety of integrated circuits, including but not limited to
application specific integrated circuits, micro-controllers, digital signal processors,
general purpose microprocessors, and network processors. Recent advances have
20 also led to the birth of what's known as "system on a chip" or SOC. Typically, a
SOC includes multiple "tightly coupled" subsystems performing very different

functions. These subsystems often have a need to communicate and cooperate with each other on a regular basis.

U.S. Patent 6,122,690 discloses an on-chip bus architecture that is both processor independent and scalable. The '690 patent discloses a bus that uses "standardized" bus interfaces to couple functional blocks to the on-chip bus. The "standardized" bus interfaces include embodiments for bus master functional blocks, slave functional blocks, or either. The '690 bus suffers from at least one disadvantage in that it does not offer rich functionalities for prioritizing interactions or transactions between the subsystems, which are needed for a SOC with subsystems performing a wide range of very different functions.

Accordingly, a more flexible approach to facilitate inter-subsystem communication between subsystems on a chip is desired.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

The present invention will be described by way of exemplary embodiments, but not limitations, illustrated in the accompanying drawings in which like references denote similar elements, and in which:

Figure 1 illustrates an overview of a system on-chip including an on-chip bus and a number of subsystems coupled to the on-chip bus, in accordance with one embodiment;

Figure 2 illustrates the method of the present invention, in accordance with one embodiment;

Figures 3a-3b illustrate a request and a reply transaction between two subsystems, in accordance with one embodiment;

5 **Figure 4** illustrates data transfer unit of **Fig. 1** in further detail, in accordance with one embodiment;

Figures 5a-5b illustrate the operational states and the transition rules for the state machines of **Fig. 4**, in accordance with one embodiment; and

10 **Figures 6a-6c** are timing diagrams for practicing the present invention, in accordance with one implementation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

20 The present invention includes interface units and operational methods for flexibly facilitating inter-subsystem communication between subsystems of a SOC. In the following description, various features and arrangements will be described, to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, the present invention may be practiced without some of the specific details or with alternate features/arrangement. In other instances, well-known features are omitted or simplified in order not to obscure the present invention.

The description to follow repeatedly uses the phrase “in one embodiment”, which ordinarily does not refer to the same embodiment, although it may. The terms

“comprising”, “having”, “including” and the like, as used in the present application, including in the claims, are synonymous.

Overview

5 Referring now to **Figure 1**, wherein a block diagram illustrating an overview of a SOC **100** with subsystems **102a-102d** incorporated with the teachings of the present invention for inter-subsystem communication, in accordance with one embodiment, is shown. As illustrated, for the embodiment, SOC **100** includes on-chip bus **104** and subsystems **102a-102d** coupled to each other through bus **104**.
10 Moreover, each of subsystems **102a-102d** includes data transfer unit or interface (DTU) **108a-108d** incorporated with teachings of the present invention, correspondingly coupling the subsystems **102a-102d** to bus **104**. SOC **100** also includes arbiter **106**, which is also coupled to bus **104**.

In one embodiment, bus **104** includes a number of sets of request lines (one
15 set per subsystem), a number of sets of grant lines (one set per subsystem), and a number of shared control and data/address lines. Included among the shared control lines is a first control line for a subsystem granted access to the bus (grantee subsystem, also referred to as the master subsystem) to assert a control signal to denote the beginning of a transaction cycle, and to de-assert the control signal to
20 denote the end of the transaction cycle; and a second control line for a subsystem addressed by the grantee/master subsystem (also referred to as the slave subsystem) to assert a control signal to inform the grantee/master subsystem that

the addressee/slave subsystem is busy (also referred to as “re-trying” the master system).

As a result of the facilities advantageously provided by DTU **108a-108d**, and the teachings incorporated in subsystem **102a-102d**, subsystems **102a-102d** are

5 able to flexibly communicate and cooperate with each other, allowing subsystems **102a-102d** to handle a wide range of different functions having different needs.

More specifically, as will be described in more detail below, in one embodiment, subsystems **102a-102d** communicate with each other via transactions conducted across bus **104**. Subsystems **102a-102d**, by virtue of the facilities advantageously

10 provided by DTU **108a-108d**, are able to locally prioritize the order in which its transactions are to be serviced by the corresponding DTU **108a-108d** to arbitrate for access to bus **104**. Further, in one embodiment, by virtue of the architecture of the transactions, subsystems **102a-102d** are also able to flexibly control the priorities on which the corresponding DTU **108a-108d** are to use to arbitrate for bus **104** with

15 other contending transactions of other subsystems **102a-102d**.

Arbiter **106** is employed to arbitrate access to bus **104**. That is, arbiter **106** is employed to determine which of the contending transactions on whose behalf the DTU **108a-108d** are requesting for access (through e.g. the request lines of the earlier described embodiment), are to be granted access to bus **104** (through e.g. 20 the grant lines of the earlier described embodiment).

SOC **100** is intended to represent a broad range of SOC, including multi-service ASIC. In particular, in various embodiments, subsystems **102a-102d** may be

one or more of a memory controller, a security engine, a voice processor, a collection of peripheral device controllers, a framer processor, and a network media access controller. Moreover, by virtue of the advantageous employment of DTU **108a-108d** to interface subsystems **102a-102d** to on-chip bus **104**, with DTU **108a-108d** and on-chip bus operating on the same clock speed, the core logic of subsystems **102a-102d** may operate in different clock speeds, including clock speeds that are different from the clock speed of non-chip bus **104** and DTU **108a-108d**. In one embodiment, one or more subsystems **102a-102d** may be a multi-function subsystems, in particular, with the functions identified by identifiers. Except for the teachings of the present invention incorporated into subsystems **102a-102d**, the exact constitution and the exact manner their core logic operate in providing the functions/services the subsystems are immaterial to the present invention. While for ease of understanding, SOC **100** is illustrated as having only four subsystems **102a-102d**, in practice, SOC **100** may have more or less subsystems. In particular, by virtue of the advantageous employment of DTU **108a-108d** to interface subsystems **102a-102d** to on-chip bus **104**, zero or more selected ones of subsystems **102a-102d** may be removed, while other subsystems **102a-102d** may be flexibly added to SOC **100**.

Similarly, arbiter **106** may be any one of a number of bus arbiters known in the art. The facilities of DTU **108a-108d** and the teachings incorporated into the core logic of subsystems **102a-102d** to practice the present invention will be described in turn below.

Method

Referring now to **Fig. 2**, wherein a flow chart illustrating a method of the present invention, in accordance with one embodiment, is shown. As illustrated, in accordance with the present invention, subsystems **102a-102d** initiate transactions with one another, using the facilities of their corresponding DTU **108a-108d** to locally prioritize the order the transactions of the corresponding subsystems are to be serviced, for arbitration for access to bus **104**, block **202**.

Further, for the embodiment, for each transaction, each subsystem **102a-102d** also includes as part of the transaction the bus arbitration priority the corresponding DTU **108a-108d** is to use to arbitrate for access to bus **104**, when servicing the transaction in the prioritized manner.

In response, DTU **108a-108d** service the transactions of the respective subsystems **102a-102d** accordingly, and arbitrating for access to bus **104**, using the bus arbitration priorities included among the transactions. Arbiter **106** in turn grants accesses to bus **104** based on the bus arbitration priorities of the contending transactions, block **204**.

In one embodiment, arbiter **106** grants access strictly by the transaction priorities, e.g. in a three priority implementation, all high priority transactions will be granted access first, before the medium priority transactions are granted access, and finally the low priority transactions are granted access. In another embodiment, arbiter **106** further employs certain non-starvation techniques, to ensure the medium

and/or low priority transactions will also be granted access to bus **104**. The non-starvation techniques may be any one of a number of such techniques known in the art.

Still referring to **Figure 2**, once granted access to bus **104**, the grantee DTU **108*** places the grantee transaction on bus **104** (through e.g. the shared data/address lines of the earlier described embodiment). In one embodiment, the transaction includes address of the targeted subsystem **102***. In response, once placed onto bus **104**, the addressee subsystem **102*** claims the transaction, and acknowledges the transaction, or if the subsystem **102*** is busy, instructs the requesting subsystem **102*** to retry later, block **206**. If acknowledgement is given and a reply is due (as in the case of a read request), the reply is later initiated as a reply transaction. In other words, for the embodiment, “read” transactions are accomplished in a “split” manner.

In the present application, for ease of designation, the trailing “*” of a reference number denotes one of the instances of reference. For example, **108*** means either **108a**, **108b**, **108c** or **108d**.

Exemplary Transaction Formats

Figures 3a-3b illustrate two exemplary transaction formats, a request format and a reply format, suitable for use to practice the present invention, in accordance with one embodiment. As illustrated in **Fig. 3a**, exemplary request transaction **302** includes three parts, first header **301a**, second header **301b**, and optional data

portion **312**. First header **301a** includes in particular, a command or request code **304**, which for the embodiment, includes the bus arbitration priority, and address **306** of the target subsystem **102***. The various subsystems **102a-102d** of SOC **100** are assumed to be memory mapped. Arbitration is initiated by a DTU **108*** requesting
5 arbiter **106** for access (through e.g. the earlier described subsystem based request lines), including with the request the included bus arbitration priority in the command portion **304** of first header **301a**. Second header **301b** includes an identifier identifying a function of the originating subsystem **102***, allowing subsystem **102*** to be a multi-function subsystem and be able to associate transactions with the various
10 functions. Second header **301b** also includes size **310**. For write transactions, size **310** denotes the size of the write data to follow (the "optional" data portion), in number of bytes. For read transactions, size **310** denotes the size of the data being accessed (i.e. read), also in number of bytes.

As illustrated in **Fig. 3b**, exemplary reply transaction **322** also includes three
15 parts, first header **321a**, second header **321b** and data **332**. First header **321a** includes in particular, a command or request code **324**, which includes the bus arbitration priority, identifier **328** which identifies the subsystem and its function, and low order byte of targeted address **326a** of the replying subsystem **102***. As alluded earlier, data **332** includes the data being accessed/read by the original read request.
20 Again, arbitration is initiated by a DTU **108*** requesting arbiter **106** for access (through e.g. the earlier described subsystem based request lines), including with the request the included bus arbitration priority in the command portion **324** of first

header **321a**. Second header **321b** includes the remaining high order bytes targeted address **326a** of the replying subsystem **102***. Accordingly, employment of these transaction formats enables a subsystem **102*** to communicate with another subsystem **102*** at any byte position, reducing the number of operations for

5 unaligned data transfers.

In one embodiment, different commands are supported for “conventional” data versus control, and voice data. More specifically, for the embodiment, the commands supported are:

Command Code	Command	Description
000	Reserved	Reserved
001	DRead	Data Read Request
010	CRead	Control Read Request
011	VRead	Voice Read Request
100	DWrite	Data Write Request
101	CWrite	Control Write Request
110	VWrite	Voice Write Request
111	Reply	Read Reply

10

Data Transfer Units

Figure 4 illustrates DTU **108*** in further details, in accordance with one embodiment. As illustrated, DTU **108*** includes a number of pairs of outbound and inbound transaction queues **402*** and **404***, one pair each for each priority level. For example, in one embodiment where DTU **108*** supports three levels of priority, high, medium and low, DTU **108*** includes three pairs of outbound and inbound transaction queues **402a** and **404a**, **402b** and **404b**, and **402c** and **404c**, one each for the high, medium and low priorities. In another embodiment, DTU **108*** supports two levels of priority, high and low, DTU **108*** includes two pairs of outbound and inbound transaction queues **402a** and **404a**, and **402b** and **404b**, one each for the high and low priorities. Of course, in other embodiments, DTU **108*** may support more than three levels of priority or less than two levels of priority, i.e. no prioritization.

Additionally, DTU **108*** includes outbound transaction queue service state machine **406** and inbound transaction queue service state machine **408**, coupled to the transaction queues **402*** and **404*** as shown. Outbound transaction queue service state machine **406** services, i.e. processes, the transactions placed into the outbound queues **402*** in order of the assigned priorities of the queues **402*** and **404***, i.e. with the transactions queued in the highest priority queue being serviced first, then the transaction queued in the next highest priority queue next, and so forth.

For each of the transactions being serviced, outbound transaction queue service state machine **406** provides the control signals to the corresponding outbound queue **402*** to output on the subsystem's request lines, the included bus arbitration priority of the first header of the "oldest" (in turns of time queued) transaction of the queue **402***, to arbitrate and compete for access to bus **104** with other contending transactions of other subsystems **102***. Upon being granted access to bus **104** (per the state of the subsystem's grant lines), for the embodiment, outbound transaction queue service state machine **406** provides the control signals to the queue **402*** to output the remainder of the transaction, e.g. for the earlier described transaction format, the first header, the second header and optionally, the trailing data.

Similarly, inbound transaction queue service state machine **408** provides the control signals to the corresponding inbound queue **402*** to claim a transaction on bus **104**, if it is determined that the transaction is a new request transaction of the subsystem **102*** or a reply transaction to an earlier request transaction of the subsystem **102***. Additionally, in one embodiment, if the claiming of a transaction changes the state of the queue **404*** from empty to non-empty, inbound transaction queue service state machine **408** also asserts a "non-empty" signal for the core logic (not shown) of the subsystem **102***.

In due course, the core logic, in view of the "non-empty" signal, requests for the inbound transactions queued. In response, inbound transaction queue service state machine **408** provides the control signals to the highest priority non-empty

inbound queue to cause the queue to output the “oldest” (in turns of time queued) transaction of the queue **404***. If all inbound queues **404*** become empty after the output of the transaction, inbound transaction queue service state machine **408** de-asserts the “non-empty” signal for the core logic of the subsystem **102***.

5 Thus, under the present invention, a core logic of a subsystem **102*** is not only able to influence the order its transactions are being granted access to bus **104**, relatively to transactions of other subsystems **102***, through specification of the bus arbitration priorities in the transactions’ headers, a core logic of a subsystem **102***, by selectively placing transactions into the various outbound queues **402*** of its DTU
10 **108***, may also utilize the facilities of DTU **108*** to locally prioritize the order in which its transactions are to be serviced to arbitrate for access for bus **104**.

Queue pair **402*** and **404*** may be implemented via any one of a number of “queue” circuitry known in the art. Similarly, state machines **406-408**, to be described more fully below, may be implemented using any one of a number
15 programmable or combinatory circuitry known in the art. In one embodiment, assignment of priorities to the queues pairs **402*** and **404*** are made by programming a configuration register (not shown) of DTU **108***. Likewise, such configuration register may be implemented in any one of a number of known techniques.

20

State Machines

Referring now to **Figures 5s** and **5b** wherein two state diagrams illustrating the operating states and transitional rules of state machines **406** and **408** respectively, in accordance with one embodiment, are shown. The embodiment
5 assumes three pairs of queues **402a** and **404a**, **402b** and **404b**, and **402c** and **404c**, having three corresponding level of assign priorities, high, medium and low.

As illustrated in **Fig. 5a**, initially, for the embodiment, state machine **406** is in idle state **502**. If state machine **406** detects that the high priority queue **402a** is non-empty, it transitions to first arbitrate state **504**, and arbitrate for access to bus **104** for
10 the “oldest” (in terms of time queued) transaction queued in the high priority queue **402a**. However, if while in idle state **502**, state machine **406** detects that the high priority queue **420a** is empty and the medium priority queue **402b** is not empty, it transitions to second arbitrate state **508**, and arbitrate for access to bus **104** for the “oldest” (in terms of time queued) transaction queued in the medium priority queue
15 **402b**. Similarly, if while in idle state **502**, state machine **406** detects that both the high and medium priority queues **402a-402b** are empty, and the low priority queue **402c** is not empty, it transitions to third arbitrate state **512**, and arbitrate for access to bus **104** for the “oldest” (in terms of time queued) transaction queued in the low priority queue **402c**. If none of these transition conditions are detected, state
20 machine **406** remains in idle state **502**.

Upon arbitrating for access to bus **104** for the “oldest” (in terms of time queued) transaction queued in the highest priority queue **402a** after entering first

arbitrate state **504**, state machine **406** remains in first arbitrate state **504** until the bus access request is granted. At such time, it transitions to first placement state **506**, where it causes the granted transaction in the high priority queue **404a** to be placed onto bus **104**.

5 From first placement state **506**, state machine **406** returns to one of the three arbitrate states **504**, **508** and **512** or idle state **502**, depending on whether the high priority queue **402a** is empty, if yes, whether the medium priority queue **402b** is empty, and if yes, whether the low priority queue **402c** is also empty.

10 Similarly, upon arbitrating for access to bus **104** for the “oldest” (in terms of time queued) transaction queued in the medium priority queue **402b** after entering second arbitrate state **508**, state machine **406** remains in second arbitrate state **508** until the bus access request is granted. At such time, it transitions to second placement state **510**, where it causes the granted transaction in medium priority queue **402b** to be placed onto bus **104**.

15 From second placement state **510**, state machine **406** returns to one of the three arbitrate states **504**, **508** and **512** or idle state **502**, depending on whether the high priority queue **402a** is empty, if yes, whether the medium priority queue **402b** is empty, and if yes, whether the low priority queue **402c** is also empty.

20 Likewise, upon arbitrating for access to bus **104** for the “oldest” (in terms of time queued) transaction queued in the low priority queue **402c**, state machine **406** remains in third arbitrate state **512** until the bus access request is granted. At such

time, it transitions to third placement state **514**, where it causes the granted transaction in low priority queue **402b** to be placed onto bus **104**.

From third placement state **514**, state machine **406** returns to one of the three arbitrate states **504**, **508** and **512** or idle state **502**, depending on whether the high priority queue **402a** is empty, if yes, whether the medium priority queue **402b** is empty, and if yes, whether the low priority queue **402c** is also empty.

As illustrated in **Fig. 5b**, initially, for the embodiment, state machine **408** is also in idle state **602**. While at idle state **602**, if no transaction on bus **104** is addressed to the subsystem **102*** (or one of the functions of the subsystem **102***, in the case of a reply transaction), nor are there any pending request for data from the core logic of the subsystem **102***, state machine **408** remains in idle state **602**.

However, if the presence of a transaction on bus **104** addressed to the subsystem **102*** (or one of the functions of the subsystem **102***, in the case of a reply transaction) is detected, state machine **408** transitions to claim state **604**, where it provides control signals to the appropriate queue **404*** to claim the transaction, and acknowledges the transaction.

If claiming of the transaction changes the state of the queues from all empty to at least one queue not empty, state machine **408** transitions to the notify state **606**, in which it asserts the “non-empty” signal for the core logic of subsystem **102***, as earlier described.

From notify state **606**, state machine **408** transitions to either claim state **604** if there is another transaction on bus **104** addressed to the subsystem **102*** (or a

function of the subsystem **102***, in case of a reply), or output state **608**, if there is a pending request for data from the core logic of the subsystem **102***. From output state **608**, state machine **408** either transitions to claim state **604** another transaction on bus **104** addressed to the subsystem **102*** (or a function of the subsystem **102***, in case of a reply) is detected, remains in output state **608** if there is no applicable transaction on bus **104**, but request for data from the core logic is outstanding, or returns to idle state **602**, if neither of those two conditions are true.

Bus Signals, Timing and Rules

10 In one embodiment, the bus signals supported are as follows:

Signal Name	Signal Width	Description
MSCLK	1	Bus Clock (e.g. 25 – 100 MHz)
MSRST	1	System Bus Reset
MSAD[31:0]	32	Address/Data (tri-state, bi-directional)
MSCYC	1	Shared among subsystems to denote master bus cycles
MSREQ-1:0]	pair for each subsystem	Bus request, 2 per subsystem to gain ownership of bus
MSGNT	# of subsystems	Bus grant – signifies subsystem own the bus
MSSEL	1	Slave select – signifies subsystem has been selected (tri-state)

MSRDY	1	Master ready – signifies master data ready on the bus (tri-state)
MSBSY	1	Slave Busy – signifies selected device is busy (tri-state)
MSINT	# of subsystems	Interrupt request

In one embodiment, the request codes supported are as follows:

Req[1:0]	Request Type
00	Idle – no request
01	Low priority (“conventional” Data)
10	Medium priority (Control)
11	High priority (Voice and Replies)

Figures 6a-6c are three timing diagrams illustrating the timings of the various signals of the above described embodiment, for burst write timing, write followed by read timing and read followed by write timing (different subsystems) respectively.

In one embodiment, the maximum burst transfer size is 64-bytes of data (+ 8 bytes for the transaction header). The subsystems guarantee the burst transfers to be within a page. The slave devices would accept the maximum sized transfer (64 bytes + header) before generating the above described MSSEL signal.

In one embodiment, each data transfer unit would permit only one Read request to be outstanding. If a Read request is pending, the subsystem would not accept requests from other masters until the reply to the outstanding Read request has been received. This advantageously prevents a deadlock condition. The subsystem may, however, continue to generate write requests.

In alternate embodiments, the present invention may be practiced with other approaches being employed to address these and other operational details.

Conclusion and Epilogue

Thus, it can be seen from the above descriptions, an improved method and apparatus for inter-subsystem communication between subsystems of a SOC has been described. The novel scheme advantageously enables the core logic of the subsystems to operate in different clock domains. Moreover, the novel scheme enables subsystems to be flexibly added to or removed from a particular implementation of the SOC. While the present invention has been described in terms of the foregoing embodiments, those skilled in the art will recognize that the invention is not limited to these embodiments. The present invention may be practiced with modification and alteration within the spirit and scope of the appended claims. Thus, the description is to be regarded as illustrative instead of restrictive on the present invention.